

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,769	03/18/2004	Tohru Harada	250617US2	4671	
OBLON SPIV	7590 09/24/200 'AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S'	TREET	MORRISON, JAY A			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2168		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/802,769		HARADA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JAY A. MORRISON	2168		

	JAY A. M	ORRISON	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			-					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same of replies: (1) al (with ap	day as filing a Notice of an amendment, affidav peal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the	final rejection.						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ter than SIX	MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection	n.				
		netition under 37 CFR 1	136(a) and the annionrial	e extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with	37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration			cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ected claims.					
NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11				DTOL OOA)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		acned Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\big \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 			ill be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome a	I rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the sta	tus of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NO	place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/0	3) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:								
/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168								

It is submitted that the amendment which includes the subject matter of claim 5 into claim 1 does not materially reduce or simplify the issues since claim 5 already contains all of the subject matter which becomes claim 1 in the amendment. In addition, by moving up the subject matter from claim 5 into claim 1, claims 2.4 are altered by the introduction of new subject matter (claim 5) which they did not previously contain. For these claims new search and consideration would be required. For these reasons the amendment will not be entered.

With respect to applicant's argument that Paradinas does not disclose "a program file, an electronic signature of the program file, a configuration file, and an electronic signature of the configuration file", it is respectfully submitted that since the elements are not made functional in the claim that this nonfunctional descriptive material does not functionally relate to the steps in the claim which would have been performed the same recordess of this nonfunctional material.